

# Revealing a Binary Pattern Validates 3n+1 Problem for All Positive Integers

Budee U Zaman

EasyChair preprints are intended for rapid dissemination of research results and are integrated with the rest of EasyChair.

April 16, 2024

## Revealing a Binary Pattern Validates 3n+1 Problem for All Positive Integers

Budee U Zaman

#### Abstract

This study delves into a unique binary pattern found within the wellknown 3n+1 problem, or Collatz conjecture. Through careful analysis of the steps in the 3n+1 sequence, we have discovered a special binary representation that captures the behavior of all positive integers undergoing this transformation. With this new understanding, we have provided a solid proof confirming the validity of the 3n+1 problem for all positive integers. Our method goes beyond the need for extensive computational confirmation, providing a simple and elegant resolution to a long-standing mathematical mystery.

#### 1 Introduction

The Collatz conjecture, also known as the 3n+1 problem, has intrigued mathematicians for many years due to its seemingly simple yet challenging nature. First introduced by Loather Collatz in 1937, the conjecture suggests a basic algorithm for any positive integer: if the number is even, divide it by 2; if it is odd, multiply it by 3 and add 1.

This iterative process eventually converges to the value 1, as boldly claimed by the conjecture. Despite its straightforwardness, the Collatz conjecture remains unproven, making it a longstanding unsolved mystery in number theory. Numerous computational attempts have been made to validate its accuracy for larger numbers, but a comprehensive analytical proof remains elusive.

A new perspective has led to a major discovery in understanding the 3n+1 transformation of integers in binary form. By carefully analyzing the binary patterns in this process, a significant revelation has been made, illuminating the core of the issue.

This research explores a unique viewpoint on the Collatz conjecture. Through studying the binary sequences produced during the 3n+1 transformations, we have uncovered a fundamental pattern that goes beyond individual calculations and captures the behavior of all positive integers affected by this algorithm.

Note that each positive odd integer n, definable as  $n = \sum_{i=0}^{x} 4^{i}$ , for each  $x \in Z^{+}$ , needs to be reduced to one by taking one 3n + 1 step, followed by 2(x+1) successive  $\frac{n}{2}$  steps.

The 3n + 1 step that uses an integer in base 2 will demonstrate the veracity of this claim. [1] [2] [3]

#### 2 Example one

Let  $n = \sum_{x=0}^{n} (2)^{2i} = 21 = 10101_2$ , then

$$10101_2 \times 10_2 \Rightarrow 101010_2 + 10101_2 \Rightarrow 111111_2 + 1_2 = 1000000_2 = 2^6$$

, and

$$\frac{1000000_2}{10_2} \Rightarrow \frac{100000_2}{10_2} \Rightarrow \frac{10000_2}{10_2} \Rightarrow \frac{1000_2}{10_2} \Rightarrow \frac{1000_2}{10_2} \Rightarrow \frac{100_2}{10_2} \Rightarrow \frac{10_2}{10_2} = 1$$

Consequently, compared to their base 10 representation, the base 2 representation of positive integers provides further understanding of the 3n + 1 problem.

### Proof

Let  $O^+$  be the set of positive odd integers, then

$$O^+ = \{ x \in Z | x = 2y + 1, y \ge 0, y \in Z \}.$$

#### 3 Theorem one

P will stand for the 3n+1 problem. If P is true for every positive odd integer, then it must also hold true for every positive integer.  $\forall a \in O^+ : P(a) \Rightarrow \forall b \in Z^+ : P(b)$ 

### Proof

#### First Case:

Let  $x \in Z^+$ , let  $n = 2^x$ . In order to reduce n to 1, x successive  $\frac{n}{2}$  steps are needed.

Second Case : Multiplication of an odd integer by a power of two With  $n \in O^+$  and  $n \in Z^+$  let  $u \in O^+$  and  $u \in Z^+$  be and  $u \in U^+$  and  $u \in V^+$  be a set  $u \in U^+$  be a set u = U^+ be a set  $u \in U^+$  be a set u = U^+ be a set  $u \in U^+$  be a set u = U^+ be a set u = U^

With  $n \in O^+$  and  $x \in Z^+$ , let  $y = 2^x \cdot n$ . In order to get y = n, then x consecutive  $\frac{n}{2}$  steps are needed.

If we consider all positive integers a, the 3n + 1 problem encompasses every possible transformation that a positive integer can undergo through iterations. Each step either applies the operation 3n + 1 or removes a factor of 2 through the  $\frac{n}{2}$  step. Ultimately, this process converges for every integer n to a power of 2, denoted as  $2^x$ , where x is a non-negative integer.

However, the transformation from any arbitrary integer n to  $2^x$  might not be immediately clear due to the interplay between the 3n + 1 and  $\frac{n}{2}$  steps. To elucidate this process, we can focus solely on the 3n+1 step while compensating for the omission of the  $\frac{n}{2}$  step. By adjusting the 3n + 1 operation appropriately, we can still achieve the convergence to  $2^x$  for every positive integer, making the iterative nature of the transformation more apparent.

#### 4 Example Two

Let  $n = 9 = 1001_2$ , then  $3n + 2^x$  produces this pattern:

$$\begin{split} &1001_2 \times 11_2 \Rightarrow 11011_2 + 1_2 = 11100_2 \\ &11100_2 \times 11_2 \Rightarrow 1010100_2 + 100_2 = 101100_2 \\ &101100_2 \times 11_2 \Rightarrow 10001000_2 + 1000_2 = 100010000_2 \\ &100010000_2 \times 11_2 \Rightarrow 1100110000_2 + 10000_2 = 1101000000_2 \\ &1101000000_2 \times 11_2 \Rightarrow 10011100000_2 + 100000_2 = 10100000000_2 \\ &10100000000_2 \times 11_2 \Rightarrow 11110000000_2 + 1000000_2 = 10000000000_2 \\ &10100000000_2 \times 11_2 \Rightarrow 111100000000_2 + 100000000_2 = 10000000000_2 \\ &10100000000_2 \times 11_2 \Rightarrow 111100000000_2 + 10000000_2 = 10000000000_2 \\ &10100000000_2 \times 11_2 \Rightarrow 111100000000_2 + 10000000_2 = 1000000000_2 \\ &10100000000_2 \times 11_2 \Rightarrow 100000000_2 + 10000000_2 = 1000000000_2 \\ &100000000_2 \times 11_2 \Rightarrow 100000000_2 + 1000000_2 = 10000000000_2 \\ &1000000000_2 \times 11_2 \Rightarrow 100000000_2 + 10000000_2 = 10000000000_2 \\ &1000000000_2 \times 11_2 \Rightarrow 100000000_2 + 100000000_2 = 10000000000_2 \\ &1000000000_2 \times 11_2 \Rightarrow 1000000000_2 + 100000000_2 = 100000000000_2 = 2^{13}. \end{split}$$

In example 2, after six 3n+2x steps, the least significant bit exceeds the most significant bit, turning n into a power of two.

### Definition

The least significant bit of  $s \in Z^+$ , then LSB =  $\{2^r | r \ge 0, r \in Z \text{ such that } 2^r = \frac{s}{t}, t \in O^+\}$ . The least significant bit=LSB

#### 4.1 Theorem Two

The 3n + 1 step is isomorphic to the 3n + LSB step.

### Proof

Let  $n_0 \in O^+$ . Let  $n_1 = 3n_0 + 1$  and  $n_2 = \frac{n_1}{\text{LSB}}$ , then  $\frac{3n_1 + \text{LSB}}{3n_2 + 1} = \frac{3n_1 + \text{LSB}}{3(\frac{n_1}{\text{LSB}}) + 1} = LSB$ 

Given the congruence  $3n + \text{LSB} \equiv 0 \pmod{3n+1}$ , we can establish isomorphism between the 3n + LSB step and the 3n + 1 step.

Two functions make up the pattern in Example 2. The most significant bit of n or the most significant power of two is increased by the first function, while the least significant bit of n or the least significant power of two is increased by the second function.

Let m(x) be the function for repeated multiplication of n by 3 in terms of x, where  $x \in Z^+$ . Then  $m(x) = 3^{x+\delta}n$ .

Let lsb(x) be the function for repeated multiplication by 4(3(LSB)+LSB) of the least significant bit of n in terms of x, where  $x \in Z^+$ . Then  $lsb(x) = 2^{2(x+\delta)}$ .

### 5 Definition Two

Let f(x) be the function, in terms of x,  $x \in Z^+$ , for the 3n + LSB step for  $n \in O^+$ . Then

 $f(x) = m(x) + \text{LSB}(x) = 3^{(x+\delta)}n + 2^{2(x+\delta)}.$ 

Let Tlsb(x) be the function that, for every  $n \in O^+$ , returns the true position of the least significant bit of the 3n + LSB step in terms of  $x \in Z^+$ . Next

 $\delta = \sum_{x \in Z^+} \left( T \mathrm{lsb}(x) - \mathrm{lsb}(x) \right)$ 

### **Example Three**

Assume that multiplying  $n_k$  by 3 produces ... 001111100...

somewhere in the binary representation of the result; and that the rightmost 1 is  $LSB = 2^x$ . Let  $lsb(x) = T_{lsb}(x)$ . Adding LSB to  $n_k$  yields ... 010000000...

$$\delta = \sum_{x}^{x} \text{Tlsb}(x) - \text{lsb}(x)$$
$$\delta = \sum_{x}^{x} (2^{x+5} - 2^{x+2})$$
$$\delta = \sum_{x}^{x} (x+5 - x - 2)$$
$$\delta = \sum_{x}^{x} (3) = 3$$

## **Example Four**

 $Tlsb(x) \le lsb(x)$ 

Assume that the binary representation of the result, after multiplying  $n_k$  by 3 and adding LSB, is ... 001111100..., and that the rightmost 1 is  $LSB = 2^x$ . Assume TLsb(x) = Lsb(x). This pattern will be created by multiplying by three again and adding LSB after

 $\dots 001111100 \dots times \ 3 \ plus \ 2^{x}$ 

 $\dots 101111000\dots times \ 3 \ plus \ 2^{x+1}$ 

 $\dots 001110000 \dots times \ 3 \ plus \ 2^{x+2}$ 

 $\dots 101100000 \dots times \ 3 \ plus \ 2^{x+3}$ 

 $\dots 00100000\dots$ ,than

$$\delta = \sum_{x}^{x+3} \operatorname{Tlsb}(x) - \operatorname{lsb}(x)$$
$$\delta = \sum_{x}^{x+3} (2^{x+1} - 2^{x+2})$$

$$\delta = \sum_{x}^{x+3} (x+1-x-2)$$
$$\delta = \sum_{x}^{x+3} (-1) = -4$$

Given:

$$\delta < 0 \lor \delta = 0 \lor \delta > 0$$

If x is assumed to be  $x \in Z^+$ , then m(x) < lsb(x) indicates that a power of two is greater than the sum of its powers.

Using Example 2 as an illustration:

$$m(x) - lsb(x) = 9 \cdot 3^{(x+2)} - 4^{(x+2)} = 0$$
 for  $x \approx 5.6377$ .

The integer after the root necessitates that m(x) < lsb(x). In other words, it requires six  $3^n + LSB$  steps for 9 to converge to  $2^{13}$ .

#### 5.1 Theorem Three

There is a positive integer x such that m(x) < lsb(x) for all positive odd integers n.

For every  $n \in O^+$ ,

$$\exists x \text{ in } Z^+(m(x) < lsb(x))$$

### Proof

#### Case one

Given:  $\delta \leq -1$ ,  $\delta \in Z$ . Assume  $n \in O^+$  and let  $m(x) - \operatorname{lsb}(x) = 3^{x-\delta}n - 4^{x-\delta} = 0$ .  $x = \frac{\log(1/n)}{\log(3/4)} + \delta$ . Therefore, there exists a unique  $x \in R^+$  such that  $3^{x-\delta}n - 4^{x-\delta} = 0$  and  $\exists \Rightarrow x \in Z^+$  such that  $(m(x) < \operatorname{lsb}(x))$ . **Case Two** Given:  $\delta = 0$ . Assume  $n \in O^+$  and let  $m(x) - \operatorname{lsb}(x) = 3^x n - 4^x = 0$ .  $x = \frac{\log(1/n)}{\log(3/4)}$ . Therefore, there exists a unique  $x \in R^+$  such that  $3^x n - 4^x = 0$  and  $\exists \Rightarrow x \in Z^+$  such that  $(m(x) < \operatorname{lsb}(x))$ .

#### Case Three

Given:  $\delta \ge 1$ ,  $\delta \in Z$ . Assume  $n \in O^+$  and let  $m(x) - \operatorname{lsb}(x) = 3^{x+\delta}n - 4^{x+\delta} = 0$ .  $x = \frac{\log(1/n)}{\log(3/4)} - \delta$ .

Therefore, there exists a unique  $x \in R^+$  such that  $3^{x+\delta}n - 4^{x+\delta} = 0$  and  $\exists \Rightarrow x \in Z^+$  such that (m(x) < lsb(x)).

Since these examples are all-inclusive, it demonstrates that For every  $n \in O^+$ ,

 $\exists x \text{ in } Z^+(m(x) < lsb(x))$ 

For all  $n \in O^+$ , there exists an  $x \in Z^+$  such that  $m(x) < \operatorname{lsb}(x)$  (Theorem 3), therefore f(x) converges to  $2^y$ ,  $y \in Z^+$ . And since the 3n + LSB step and the 3n + 1 step are isomorphic (Theorem 2), it can be concluded that if  $a_0 = n$ ,  $n \in O^+$ , then...

 $a_i/2$  for even  $a_i$  $3a_i+1$  for odd  $a_i$  $a_{i+1} = \{$ converges to 1.

Theorem 1 states that the truth applies to all positive integers since the 3n+1 issue holds true for all positive odd numbers. As  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ , if  $a_0 = n$ , then

 $a_i/2$ for even  $a_i$  $a_{i+1} = \{$  $3a_i + 1$ for odd ai converges to 1.

#### 6 Conclusion

To wrap up, our research has revealed an interesting alternating pattern in the 3n+1 problem, providing new insight into how it works. By carefully examining the data, we have not only proven that the theory is true for all whole numbers but have also presented a clear and easy-to-follow explanation, eliminating the need for extensive computer checks. This new finding is a major achievement in the field of math, solving a longstanding puzzle with clarity and accuracy.

#### References

- [1] Budee U Zaman. Collatz conjecture proof for special integer subsets and a unified criterion for twin prime identification. 2023.
- [2] Budee U Zaman. Exploring the collatz conjecture through directed graphs. 2024.
- [3] Budee U Zaman. Validating collatz conjecture through binary representation and probabilistic path analysis. 2024.